

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,124	04/02/2004	Glenn A. Morten	08223/1200330-US2	1508	
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 11/15/200 RBY P.C.	7	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	JOHNSON,	ISON, CARLTON			
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2136	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					mv		
•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/817,12	24	MORTEN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Carlton V.	• • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2136			
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	Idress		
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE I IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING he may be available under the provisions of 37 CF NTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe within the set or extended period for reply will, by st ed by the Office later than three months after the n rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and witatute, cause the appl	HIS COMMUNIC ent, however, may a re fill expire SIX (6) MONI lication to become ABA	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the control			
Status							
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on 2	0 August 2007	,				
2a)⊠ This ac	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since th	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed i	in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of C	laims						
4)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	•				
4a) Of th	ne above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from co	nsideration.				
5) Claim(s	s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
•	s) is/are objected to.		· •				
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restriction ar	na/or election re	equirement.				
Application Pape	ers						
9)⊡ The spe	cification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)☐ The dra	wing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to t	by the Examiner.	•		
• •	nt may not request that any objection to	•					
•	ment drawing sheet(s) including the co	· ·		•			
11) Ine oati	h or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	ne the attached	Office Action of form P	10-152.		
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119						
•	ledgment is made of a claim for fore b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1 C	Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received.				
	Certified copies of the priority docum						
	Copies of the certified copies of the	•		received in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bu	•					
* See the a	attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certi	ried copies not i	receivea.			
Attachment(s)							
	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948			ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) X Information Dis	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date <u>10-30-2007</u> .	,		formal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responding to application papers filed on 8-20-2007.

2. Claims 1 - 20 are pending. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 18, 19, 20 have been amended. Claims 1, 12, 18, 20 are independent.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3.1 Applicant argues, "Benaloh teaches away from using a fingerprint or watermark that is generated from a unique identifier associated with the entity decrypting the content". (see Remarks Page 11)

The Benaloh prior art qualifies the stated procedure but does not discredit or discourage the usage of a watermarking or fingerprint procedure for the protection of content. The marking of each copy is "less than ideal" but the Benaloh prior art does not discourage or discredit this approach. In any event, the Benaloh prior art still discloses a watermark or fingerprint procedure for a partition which is a portion of content such as the black frames of media stream. This is still watermarking or fingerprinting the content as per claim limitation.

The Benaloh prior art has a specific approach to assist in identification at decryption or playback for the protection of content.

Art Unit: 2136

Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, "wrapping the 3.2 encrypted modified content together with the self-identifier using an access key". (see Remarks Page 11)

The Benaloh prior art discloses a content key and a device (public/private) key. These are two encryption keys utilized in the protection of the content. The content key is utilized to encrypt the content. The device (public/private) key is utilized to wrap the content and the keys. (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 13-16) In addition, the device (public/private) key is an identifier for the entity, content player, or end user.

3.3 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, "the device key pair is not used as a self-identifier to generate a fingerprint or watermark". (see Remarks Page 11)

The Benaloh prior art device key is utilized to identify the particular device that decrypted the content as per claim limitation. (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 21-26: identify content player (entity decrypting content) The device key is utilized to generate a watermark or fingerprint. (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 13-16: key associated with player, end user, or market recipient) And, the Cooper prior art discloses the usage of an encryption key in the watermarking of content. (see Cooper paragraph [0121], lines 1-8)

Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, obviousness. (see Remarks Page 11-12)

The rejection to each independent and dependent claim includes a citation from

Art Unit: 2136

the referenced prior art that discloses the basis for the rejection. Each obviousness combination clearly indicates the claim limitation the combined reference prior art teaches. In addition, a cited passage from the referenced prior art clearly indicates the motivation for the obviousness combination. Each obviousness combination's disclosure is equivalent to the Applicant's claimed limitation(s) for the claimed invention.

All of the referenced prior art is in the same field of endeavor and a search by one skilled in the art would have returned the referenced prior art within the set of returned prior art.

3.5 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, limitations for claim 12, 18, 20. (see Remarks Page 12)

The Office Action states the disclosures within the Benaloh and Copper prior art combination which is utilized to reject these claims before amendments. The Benaloh and Cooper prior art combination discloses the above stated claim limitations. (see Benaloh col. 6, lines 25-27: serial number, identify content player, decrypting content: identifier for a content player, end user; col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint: wrap encrypted content; col. 10, lines 20-28; col. 12, lines 10-14: transfer encrypted content to user (network, medium): forward wrapped content to end user)

3.6 The examiner has considered the applicant's remarks concerning a method and device are directed to uniquely identifying content in a highly distributed content delivery system such that an origin of unauthorized content use may be more accurately

Art Unit: 2136

determined. Decrypted content is fingerprinted or watermarked by a fingerprint / watermark module such that a recipient of content is identifiable, and saved in a separate database. A key wrap module wraps and attaches aggregator's encryption key to the content before it is transmitted to downstream service operators or users. Applicant's arguments have thus been fully analyzed and considered but they are not persuasive.

After an additional analysis of the applicant's invention, remarks, and a search of the available prior art, it was determined that the current set of prior art consisting of Benaloh (7,065,216) and Cooper (20010051996) discloses the applicant's invention including disclosures in Remarks dated August 20, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1 3, 5 8, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Benaloh et al.** (US Patent No. 7,065,216).

Regarding Claim 1, Benaloh discloses a method for tracing content in a highly

Art Unit: 2136

distributed system, comprising:

- a) receiving content associated with a content owner; (see Benaloh col. 1, lines 63-66: content received (provided); col. 12, lines 10-14: network (distributed) access to content)
- b) decrypting the received content; (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 8-10: content decrypted)
- c) determining a self-identifier that uniquely identifies an entity decrypting the content; (see Benaloh col. 6, lines 25-27: serial number, identifier for content player)
- modifying the decrypted content by embedding at least one of a fingerprint or a watermark into the decrypted content, wherein the fingerprint or watermark is generated, in part, from the self-identifier; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)
- e) encrypting the modified content; (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 6-10: encrypt contents)
- f) wrapping the encrypted modified content together with the self-identifier using an access key; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)
- g) providing a set of information to the content owner, wherein the set of information enables the content owner to trace the content in the highly distributed system.

 (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 36-39; col. 1, line 54-58: identify (trace) entity that should decrypt content)

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding Claims 2, 8, Benaloh discloses the method of claims 1, 7, wherein decrypting the received content further comprises:

- a) obtaining <u>a different</u> access key out-of-band, wherein the <u>different</u> access key is uniquely associated with the entity decrypting the content and a sender of the content; (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 20-28; col. 12, lines 10-14; col. 6, lines 19-23: receive content (access) key (network, other)) and
- b) employing the <u>different</u> access key to unwrap the received content <u>before</u>

 <u>decrypting the received content</u>. (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 16-19: decrypt

 content using access key)

Regarding Claim 3, Benaloh discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the fingerprint or watermark is further generated based on another self-identifier that uniquely identifies a downstream market recipient of the content. (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint; col. 6, lines 25-27: serial number, identifier for content player (downstream market recipient))

Regarding Claim 5, Benaloh discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the self-identifier further comprises at least one of a serial number, and a time stamp indicating approximately when the content is decrypted. (see Benaloh col. 6, lines 25-27: serial number, identify content player)

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding Claim 6, Benaloh discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the set of information further comprises at least one of traceability information, a time stamp, an identifier, and registration information associated with at least one of the content and the entity decrypting the content. (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 36-39: traceability information)

Regarding Claim 7, Benaloh discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- a) providing the wrapped encrypted modified content and self-identifier to a downstream market recipient; (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 20-28; col. 12, lines 10-14: transfer encrypted content to end user, downstream market recipient)
- b) decrypting by the downstream market recipient, the received modified content; (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 8-10: content decrypted)
- c) further modifying the decrypted modified content by embedding another fingerprint or watermark into the modified content, wherein the other fingerprint or watermark is generated in part from another self-identifier that uniquely identifies the downstream market recipient that decrypts the modified content; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)
- d) encrypting the further modified content; (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 6-10: encrypt contents) and
- e) wrapping the encrypted further modified content together with the self- identifier that uniquely identifies the entity decrypting the content and the self-identifier that uniquely identifies the downstream market recipient. (see Benaloh col. 8, lines

Art Unit: 2136

49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint; col. 10, lines 20-28; col. 12, lines 10-14: transfer encrypted content to user (network, medium))

Regarding Claim 10, Benaloh discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the access key employs a public key infrastructure. (see Benaloh col. 6, lines 13-18; col. 10, lines 6-10: public/private key pair techniques)

Regarding Claim 11, Benaloh discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the content is at least one of a subscription television, movies, interactive video games, video conferencing, audio, still images, text, graphics. (see Benaloh col. 7, lines 1-5: content, a movie)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims **4, 9, 12 20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Benaloh** in view of **Cooper et al.** (US PGPUB No. **20010051996**).

Regarding Claim 4, Benaloh discloses the method of claim <u>1</u>, wherein the self-identifier associated with the entity decrypting the content. (see Benaloh col. 6, lines 25-27: serial

Art Unit: 2136

number, identifier for content player to decrypt content) Benaloh does not specifically disclose the capability to digitally sign by an encryption key. However, Cooper discloses wherein the self-identifier is digitally signed content by an encryption key. (see Cooper paragraph [019], lines 1-2: content distribution; paragraph [0043], lines 1-5: digitally sign content; paragraph [0019], lines 5-9: watermark-fingerprint techniques)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Benaloh as taught by Cooper to enable the capability to digitally signed by an encryption key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cooper in order to enable the capability to mark content files with an authenticated digital signature that uniquely identifies the source. (see Cooper paragraph [0017], lines 7-13: "... Therefore, there is a need in the electronic media content distribution field to be able to mark content files with an authenticated digital signature that uniquely identifies the person who is the source, to be able to monitor the files if they are transferred to others, and to have these capabilities while imposing minimal burden and inconvenience on the consumer. ... ")

Regarding Claim 9, Benaloh discloses the method of claim 1, wherein generating encrypted content. (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 6-10: encrypting content) Benaloh does not specifically disclose wrapping the encrypted content further comprises digitally signing the encrypted content. However, Cooper discloses wherein wrapping the encrypted content further comprises digitally signing the encrypted content. (see Cooper paragraph [019], lines 1-2: content distribution; paragraph [0043], lines 1-5:

Art Unit: 2136

digitally sign content; paragraph [0019], lines 5-9: watermark-fingerprint techniques)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Benaloh as taught by Cooper to enable the capability to wrapping the encrypted content further comprises digitally signing the encrypted content. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cooper in order to enable the capability to mark content files with an authenticated digital signature that uniquely identifies the source. (see Cooper paragraph [0017], lines 7-13)

Regarding Claim 12, Benaloh discloses a security device for tracing content in a highly distributed system, comprising:

- a) a receiver configured to receive content associated with a content owner; (see Benaloh col. 1, lines 63-66: content received (provided); col. 12, lines 10-14: network (distributed) access to content)
- b) a fingerprinter-watermarker configured to perform actions including: determining a self-identifier that uniquely identifies a recipient of the content; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)
- c) generating a fingerprint, in part, <u>from</u> the self-identifier; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint) and
- d) watermarking the content employing the fingerprint; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)

Benaloh does not specifically disclose a forensics interface configured to send

Art Unit: 2136

information associated with the watermarked content to the content owner. However, Cooper discloses:

e) a forensics interface configured to send information associated with the watermarked content to the content owner. (see Cooper paragraph [0071], lines 1-4; paragraph [0298], lines 1-3: report unauthorized content usage)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Benaloh as taught by Cooper to enable the capability for a forensics interface configured to send information associated with the watermarked content to the content owner.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cooper in order to enable the capability to mark content files with an authenticated digital signature that uniquely identifies the source. (see Cooper paragraph [0017], lines 7-13)

Regarding Claim 13, Benaloh discloses the security device of claim 12, further comprising:

- a) a key wrap, coupled to the fingerprinter-watermarker(see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint), that is configured to perform actions, including:
- b) receiving an access key associated with the recipient of the content; (see Benaloh col. 7, lines 1-5: receive content (access key)) and
- c) wrapping the content <u>together with</u> the self identifier employing the access key. (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 6-10: encrypt content and security information using

Application/Control Number: 10/817,124 Page 13

Art Unit: 2136

content (access) key)

Regarding Claim 14, Benaloh discloses the security device of claim 13, wherein the access key is received employing an out-of-band mechanism. (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 20-28; col. 12, lines 12-14; col. 6, lines 19-23: receive content (access) key, (network, other))

Regarding Claim 15, Benaloh discloses the security device of claim 12, wherein the recipient is at least one of an aggregator, a service operator, and a user. (see Benaloh col. 4, line 66 - col. 5, line 5: content provider(s), aggregator)

Regarding Claim 16, Benaloh discloses the security device of claim 12, wherein the second set of information further comprises at least one of traceability information, a time stamp, an identifier, and registration information associated with at least one of the content and the recipient of the content. (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 36-39: traceability information)

Regarding Claim 17, Benaloh discloses the security device of claim 12, further comprising:

b) a fingerprinted-watermarked content data store configured to store encrypted content. (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)

Art Unit: 2136

Benaloh does not specifically disclose a data store configured to store decrypted content

However, Cooper discloses:

 a) a data store configured to store decrypted content; (see Cooper paragraph [019], lines 1-2: content distribution; paragraph [0018], lines 12-15; paragraph [0062], lines 2-6: database; paragraph [0019], lines 5-9: watermark-fingerprint techniques)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Benaloh as taught by Cooper to enable the capability for a data store configured to store decrypted content. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cooper in order to enable the capability to mark content files with an authenticated digital signature that uniquely identifies the source. (see Cooper paragraph [0017], lines 7-13)

Regarding Claim 18, Benaloh discloses a <u>network device for managing</u> content in a highly distributed system, comprising:

a) a transceiver that is arranged to receive and to send content to another network
 device; ((see Benaloh col. 10, lines 20-28; col. 12, lines 10-14: transfer encrypted
 content to user (network, medium)) and

at least one processor that is configured to execute program code to perform actions, including:

Art Unit: 2136

- b) receiving a first wrapper of content from a first market participant sent to a second market participant that is associated with the network device, the wrapper including encrypted content, a first identifier that uniquely identifies the first market participant, and a content key, wherein the encrypted content, content key, and unique first identifier are together encrypted into the first wrapper using an access key associated with the network device; (see Benaloh col. 6, lines 25-27: serial number, identifier for content player; col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)
- c) decrypting the first wrapper using the access key; decrypting the encrypted
 content using the decrypted content key; (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 8-10: content decrypted)
- d) generating at least one of a fingerprint or a watermark that uniquely identifies the second market participant; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)
- e) marking the decrypted content by embedding the fingerprint or watermark into the decrypted content; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)
- f) encrypting the marked content using the content key; (see Benaloh col. 10, lines
 6-10: encrypt contents)
- g) generating a second wrapper that wraps together the content key, the encrypted marked content, the first unique identifier, and a second unique identifier that uniquely identifies the second market participant, using an access key associated

Art Unit: 2136

with a third market participant; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint) and

i) transmitting the second wrapper to the third market participant. (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 20-28; col. 12, lines 10-14: transfer encrypted content to user (network, medium))

Benaloh does not specifically disclose providing the information concerning the decrypted content to the content owner.

However, Cooper discloses:

d) providing the information concerning the decrypted content to the content owner. (see Cooper paragraph [0071], lines 1-4; paragraph [0298], lines 1-3: report unauthorized content usage)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Benaloh as taught by Cooper to enable the capability for providing the information concerning the decrypted content to the content owner. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cooper in order to enable the capability to mark content files with an authenticated digital signature that uniquely identifies the source. (see Cooper paragraph [0017], lines 7-13)

Regarding Claim 19, Benaloh discloses the <u>network device</u> of claim 18, wherein <u>the</u>

<u>second unique identifier further includes</u> a time stamp <u>that further indicates when the</u>

<u>second wrapper is created</u>. (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9,

Page 17

Application/Control Number: 10/817,124

Art Unit: 2136

lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint)

Regarding Claim 20, Benaloh discloses an apparatus for tracing content in a highly distributed system, comprising:

- a) a means for receiving content associated with a content owner; (see Benaloh
 (see Benaloh col. 1, lines 63-66: content received; col. 12, lines 10-14: network
 (distributed) access to content); col. 4, lines 18-20; col. 4, lines 32-37: software,
 implementation means)
- b) a decryption means for decrypting the received content; (see Benaloh col. 10, lines 16-19: decrypt content; col. 4, lines 18-20; col. 4, lines 32-37: software, implementation means)
- c) means for determining an identifier that uniquely identifies the entity decrypting
 the content; (see Benaloh col. 6, lines 25-27: serial number, identifier for content
 player; col. 4, lines 32-37: software, implementation means)
- d) means for modifying the decrypted content by embedding at least one of a fingerprint or watermark generated from the unique identifier into the decrypted content; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint; col. 4, lines 32-37: software, implementation means)
- e) means for wrapping the modified content; (see Benaloh col. 8, lines 49-50; col. 11, lines 55-62; col. 9, lines 8-11: watermark, fingerprint; col. 4, lines 32-37: software, implementation means)
- f) 'a means for determining a set of information associated with the decryption of the

Art Unit: 2136

content; (see Benaloh col. 2, lines 36-39; col. 1, line 54-58: identify (trace) entity that decrypted content; col. 4, lines 18-20; col. 4, lines 32-37: software, implementation means)

Benaloh discloses a means for implementation. (see Benaloh col. 4, lines 18-20; col. 4, lines 32-37: software, implementation means) Benaloh does not specifically disclose providing the set of information associated with the decrypted content to the content owner

However, Cooper discloses:

g) providing the set of information to the content owner. (see Cooper paragraph [0071], lines 1-4; paragraph [0298], lines 1-3: report unauthorized content usage)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Benaloh as taught by Cooper to enable the capability for providing the second set of information to the content owner. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Cooper in order to enable the capability to mark content files with an authenticated digital signature that uniquely identifies the source. (see Cooper paragraph [0017], lines 7-13)

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2136

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlton V. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-270-1032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 - 5:00PM EST.

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Art Unit: 2136

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Carlton V. Johnson Examiner

Art Unit 2136

October 29, 2007